

DYNARE SUMMER SCHOOL

Introduction to Dynare and local approximation.

Michel Juillard*

June 2021

1 Introduction

Why Dynare

- Structural models that rest on theory.
- Microeconomic foundations \Rightarrow *nonlinear models*
- Intertemporal optimization \Rightarrow *expectations matter*. Rational expectations.
- Stochastic shocks push the economic system away from equilibrium. Endogenous dynamics bring it back towards equilibrium.
- Mathematical difficulty: solving nonlinear stochastic forward-looking model under rational expectations.

Dynare

- A toolbox with cutting edge algorithms to handle DSGE models
- A modeling language to represent models and computing tasks
- A clear separation between
 - A *particular* model declared by the user
 - Computing functions that handle an entire family of DSGE models

*michel.juillard@mju.fr, Banque de France. The views expressed herein are ours and do not necessarily represent the views of Bank of France.

Dynare does ...

1. computes the solution of deterministic models (arbitrary accuracy),
2. computes first, second and higher order approximation to the solution of stochastic models,
3. estimates (maximum likelihood, Bayesian approach, methods of moments) parameters of DSGE models, for linear and nonlinear models.
4. check for identification of estimated parameters
5. computes optimal policy,
6. performs global sensitivity analysis of a model,
7. estimates BVAR and Markov-Switching Bayesian VAR models.
8. Macro language and reporting facility

Example: A very simple neoclassical growth model

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

s.t.

$$c_t + k_t = A_t k_{t-1}^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta)k_{t-1}$$

Endogenous variables

c_t consumption
 k_t capital at the end of period

Exogenous variables

A_t total factor productivity

Parameters calibration

\bar{A}	TFP steady state	1.0
α	capital share	0.3
β	discount factor	0.98
δ	depreciation rate	0.025
σ	inverse of elasticity of intertemporal substitution	2

First order conditions and steady state

Before being able to write a model in Dynare, we need to derive

- the first order conditions for optimality:

$$\begin{aligned} c_t^{-\sigma} &= \beta c_{t+1}^{-\sigma} (\alpha A_{t+1} k_t^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta) \\ c_t + k_t &= A_t k_{t-1}^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta)k_{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

- the steady state:

$$\bar{k} = \left(\frac{1 - \beta(1 - \delta)}{\beta\alpha\bar{A}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$$

$$\bar{c} = \bar{A}\bar{k}^\alpha - \delta\bar{k}$$

Example: neoclassical1.mod

```
var c k;
varexo A;

parameters alpha beta delta sigma;
alpha = 0.3;
beta = 0.98;
delta = 0.025;
sigma = 2;

model;
c^(-sigma) = beta*c(+1)^(-sigma)
              *(alpha*A(+1)*k^(alpha-1)+1-delta);
c+k = A*k(-1)^alpha+(1-delta)*k(-1);
end;
```

neoclassical1.mod (continued)

```
steady_state_model;
k = ((1-beta*(1-delta))/(beta*alpha*A))
    ^ (1/(alpha-1));
c = A*k^alpha-delta*k;
end;

initval;
A=1;
end;

steady;
```

neoclassical1.mod (continued)

- For now, the only thing that `neoclassical.mod` does is to check that the steady state solution that you wrote is correct!
- The `steady` command at the end of the file evaluates, checks and displays the value of the steady state as specified in the `steady_state_model` block.

2 Solving DSGE models

The general problem

Deterministic, perfect foresight, case:

$$f(y_{t+1}, y_t, y_{t-1}, u_t) = 0$$

Stochastic case:

$$E_t \{f(y_{t+1}, y_t, y_{t-1}, u_t)\} = 0$$

y : vector of endogenous variables

u : vector of exogenous shocks

Solution methods

- For a deterministic, perfect foresight, it is possible to compute numerical trajectories for the endogenous variables
- In a a stochastic framework, the unknown is the decision function:

$$y_t = g(y_{t-1}, u_t)$$

For a large class of DSGE models, DYNARE computes approximated decision rules and transition equations by a perturbation method.

The perturbation approach

- Perturbation approach: recovering a Taylor expansion of the solution function from a Taylor expansion of the original model.
- A first order approximation is nothing else than a standard solution using linearization.
- A first order approximation in terms of the logarithm of the variables provides standard log-linearization.

A general stochastic model

$$E_t \{f(y_{t+1}, y_t, y_{t-1}, u_t)\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_t) &= 0 \\ E(u_t u_t') &= \Sigma_u \\ E(u_t u_\tau') &= 0 \quad t \neq \tau \end{aligned}$$

y : vector of endogenous variables

u : vector of exogenous stochastic shocks

Timing assumptions

$$E_t \{f(y_{t+1}, y_t, y_{t-1}, u_t)\} = 0$$

- shocks u_t are observed at the beginning of period t ,
- decisions affecting the current value of the variables y_t , are function of
 - the previous state of the system, y_{t-1} ,
 - the shocks u_t .

The stochastic scale variable

$$E_t \{f(y_{t+1}, y_t, y_{t-1}, u_t)\} = 0$$

- At period t , the only unknown stochastic variable is y_{t+1} , and, implicitly, u_{t+1} .
- We introduce the *stochastic scale variable*, σ and the auxiliary random variable, ϵ_t , such that

$$u_{t+1} = \sigma \epsilon_{t+1}$$

The stochastic scale variable (continued)

$$E(\epsilon_t) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$E(\epsilon_t \epsilon'_t) = \Sigma_\epsilon \tag{2}$$

$$E(\epsilon_t \epsilon'_\tau) = 0 \quad t \neq \tau \tag{3}$$

and

$$\Sigma_u = \sigma^2 \Sigma_\epsilon$$

Remarks

$$E_t \{f(y_{t+1}, y_t, y_{t-1}, u_t)\} = 0$$

- The exogenous shocks may appear only at the current period (in the presentation, not in Dynare)
- There is no deterministic exogenous variables
- Not all variables are necessarily present with a lead and a lag
- Generalization to leads and lags on more than one period (nonlinear models require special care for lead terms)

3 The solution function

Solution function

$$y_t = g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma)$$

where σ is the stochastic scale of the model. If $\sigma = 0$, the model is deterministic. For $\sigma > 0$, the model is stochastic.

Under some conditions, the existence of $g()$ function is proven via an implicit function theorem. See H. Jin and K. Judd (2002) “Perturbation methods for general dynamic stochastic models” (<http://web.stanford.edu/~judd/papers/PerturbationMethodRatEx.pdf>)

Solution function (continued)

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t+1} &= g(y_t, u_{t+1}, \sigma) \\ &= g(g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma), u_{t+1}, \sigma) \\ F(y_{t-1}, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma) \\ &= f(g(g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma), \sigma \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma), g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma), y_{t-1}, u_t) \end{aligned}$$

$$E_t \{F(y_{t-1}, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma)\} = 0$$

The perturbation approach

- Obtain a Taylor expansion of the unknown solution function in the neighborhood of a problem that we know how to solve.
- The problem that we know how to solve is the deterministic steady state.
- One obtains the Taylor expansion of the solution for the Taylor expansion of the original problem.
- One consider two different perturbations:
 1. points in the neighborhood from the steady state,
 2. from a deterministic model towards a stochastic one (by increasing σ from a zero value).

The perturbation approach (continued)

- The Taylor approximation is taken with respect to y_{t-1} , u_t and σ , the arguments of the solution function

$$y_t = g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma).$$

- At the deterministic steady state, all derivatives are deterministic as well.

Steady state

A deterministic steady state, \bar{y} , for the model satisfies

$$f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, 0) = 0$$

A model can have several steady states, but only one of them will be used for approximation.

Furthermore,

$$\bar{y} = g(\bar{y}, 0, 0)$$

4 First order approximation

First order approximation

For

$$\begin{aligned} & E_t \{F(y_{t-1}, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma)\} \\ &= E_t \{f(g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma), \sigma \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma), g(y_{t-1}, u_t, \sigma), y_{t-1}, u_t)\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

we develop the Taylor expansion at $y_t = y_{t-1} = y_{t+1} = \bar{y}$ and $u_t = \epsilon_t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_t \left\{ F^{(1)}(y_{t-1}, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma) \right\} = \\ & E_t \left\{ f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, 0) + f_{y_+} (g_y (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + g_u \sigma \epsilon' + g_\sigma \sigma) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f_{y_0} (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + f_{y_-} \hat{y} + f_u u \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with $\hat{y} = y_{t-1} - \bar{y}$, $u = u_t$, $\epsilon' = \epsilon_{t+1}$, $f_{y_+} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{t+1}}$, $f_{y_0} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_t}$, $f_{y_-} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{t-1}}$, $f_u = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_t}$, $g_y = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{t-1}}$, $g_u = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_t}$, $g_\sigma = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma}$.

Certainty equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} & E_t \left\{ f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, 0) + f_{y_+} (g_y (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + g_u \sigma \epsilon' + g_\sigma \sigma) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f_{y_0} (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + f_{y_-} \hat{y} + f_u u \right\} \\ &= f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, 0) + f_{y_+} (g_y (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + g_u \sigma E_t \epsilon' + g_\sigma \sigma) \\ & \quad + f_{y_0} (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + f_{y_-} \hat{y} + f_u u \\ &= f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, 0) + f_{y_+} (g_y (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + g_u \sigma + g_\sigma \sigma) \\ & \quad + f_{y_0} (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + f_{y_-} \hat{y} + f_u u \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation

$$\begin{aligned}
E_t \left\{ F^{(1)}(y_{t-1}, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1}, \sigma) \right\} &= \\
& f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, 0) + f_{y_+} (g_y (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + g_\sigma \sigma) \\
& + f_{y_0} (g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + g_\sigma \sigma) + f_{y_-} \hat{y} + f_u u \Big\} \\
&= (f_{y_+} g_y g_y + f_{y_0} g_y + f_{y_-}) \hat{y} + (f_{y_+} g_y g_u + f_{y_0} g_u + f_u) u \\
&+ (f_{y_+} (g_y g_\sigma + g_\sigma) + f_{y_0} g_\sigma) \sigma \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

4.1 Recovering g_y

Recovering g_y

$$(f_{y_+} g_y g_y + f_{y_0} g_y + f_{y_-}) \hat{y} = 0$$

- This is matrix quadratic equation
- There is a multiplicity of solutions for g_y but only some of them are stable (going back to the steady state after a shock)
- In general, we prefer models where there exist a unique stable solution and Dynare works only with those.
- If your model doesn't have a any stable solution or a multiplicity of stable solutions, Dynare issues an error.
- Dynare uses two different algorithms to solve matrix quadratic equations:
 - Generalized Schur Decomposition (default)
 - Cyclic reduction
- The description of these algorithms are in Appendix

Blanchard and Kahn condition

- The Blanchard and Kahn condition for the existence of a unique stable solution in a Linear Rational Expectation model: *there must be as many forward-looking variables in the model as there are eigenvalues larger than one in modulus.*

4.2 Recovering g_u

Recovering g_u

$$f_{y_+} g_y g_u + f_{y_0} g_u + f_u = 0$$

This is a simple linear system:

$$g_u = - (f_{y_+} g_y + f_{y_0})^{-1} f_u$$

4.3 Recovering g_σ

Recovering g_σ

$$f_{y_+} g_y g_\sigma + f_{y_0} g_\sigma = 0$$

This is an homogeneous linear system:

$$g_\sigma = 0$$

Yet another manifestation of the certainty equivalence property of first order approximation.

First order approximated decision function

$$y_t = \bar{y} + g_y \hat{y} + g_u u$$

$$\begin{aligned} E \{y_t\} &= \bar{y} \\ \Sigma_y &= g_y \Sigma_y g_y' + \sigma^2 g_u \Sigma_\epsilon g_u' \end{aligned}$$

The variance is solved for with an algorithm for discrete time Lyapunov equations.

5 Example: A simple RBC model

A simple RBC model

Consider the following model of an economy.

- Representative agent preferences

$$U = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} E_t \left[\ln(C_t) - \frac{L_t^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma} \right]$$

The household supplies labor and rents capital to the corporate sector.

- L_t is labor services
- β is the discount rate
- $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ is a labor supply parameter.
- C_t is consumption,

RBC Model (continued)

- The household faces the sequence of budget constraints

$$K_t = (1 - \delta) K_{t-1} + w_t L_t + r_t K_{t-1} - C_t,$$

where

- K_t is capital at the end of period
- $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is the rate of depreciation
- w_t is the real wage,
- r_t is the real rental rate
- The production function is given by the expression

$$Y_t = A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha \left((1 + g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

where $g \in (0, \infty)$ is the growth rate of labor embodied technological change and α is a parameter.

RBC Model (continued)

- A_t is a technology shock that follows the process

$$A_t = A_{t-1}^\lambda \exp(e_t),$$

where e_t is an i.i.d. zero mean normally distributed error with standard deviation σ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is a parameter.

The household problem

Lagrangian

$$L = \max_{C_t, L_t, K_t} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} E_t \left[\ln(C_t) - \frac{L_t^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma} - \mu_t (K_t - K_{t-1} (1 - \delta) - w_t L_t - r_t K_{t-1} + C_t) \right]$$

First order conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial C_t} &= \beta^{t-1} \left(\frac{1}{C_t} - \mu_t \right) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial L_t} &= \beta^{t-1} (L_t^\gamma - \mu_t w_t) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial K_t} &= -\beta^{t-1} \mu_t + \beta^t E_t (\mu_{t+1} (1 - \delta + r_{t+1})) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

First order conditions

Eliminating the Lagrange multiplier, one obtains

$$L_t^\gamma = \frac{w_t}{C_t}$$

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = \beta E_t \left(\frac{1}{C_{t+1}} (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) \right)$$

The firm problem

$$\max_{L_t, K_{t-1}} A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha} - r_t K_{t-1} - w_t L_t$$

First order conditions:

$$r_t = \alpha A_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha-1} \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$w_t = (1-\alpha) A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha \left((1+g)^t \right)^{1-\alpha} L_t^{-\alpha}$$

Goods market equilibrium

$$K_t + C_t = A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) K_{t-1}$$

Dynamic Equilibrium

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = \beta E_t \left(\frac{1}{C_{t+1}} (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) \right)$$

$$L_t^\gamma = \frac{w_t}{C_t}$$

$$r_t = \alpha A_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha-1} \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$w_t = (1-\alpha) A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha \left((1+g)^t \right)^{1-\alpha} L_t^{-\alpha}$$

$$K_t + C_t = A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) K_{t-1}$$

Existence of a balanced growth path

There must exist a growth rates g_c and g_k so that

$$(1+g_k)^t K_1 + (1+g_c)^t C_1 =$$

$$A \left(\frac{(1+g_k)^t}{1+g_k} K_0 \right)^\alpha \left((1+g)^t L_1 \right)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) \frac{(1+g_k)^t}{1+g_k} K_0$$

for $t = 1$. So,

$$g_c = g_k = g$$

and

$$K_1 + C_1 = A \left(\frac{1}{1+g} K_0 \right)^\alpha L_1^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) \frac{1}{1+g} K_0$$

Stationarized model

Let's define

$$\widehat{C}_t = C_t / (1+g)^t$$

$$\widehat{K}_t = K_t / (1+g)^t$$

$$\widehat{w}_t = w_t / (1+g)^t$$

Stationarized model (continued)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\widehat{C}_t (1+g)^t} &= \beta E_t \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{C}_{t+1} (1+g) (1+g)^t} (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) \right) \\ L_t^\gamma &= \frac{\widehat{w}_t (1+g)^t}{\widehat{C}_t (1+g)^t} \\ r_t &= \alpha A_t \left(\widehat{K}_{t-1} \frac{(1+g)^t}{1+g} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ \widehat{w}_t (1+g)^t &= (1-\alpha) A_t \left(\widehat{K}_{t-1} \frac{(1+g)^t}{1+g} \right)^\alpha \left((1+g)^t \right)^{1-\alpha} L_t^{-\alpha} \\ \left(\widehat{K}_t + \widehat{C}_t \right) (1+g)^t &= A_t \left(\widehat{K}_{t-1} \frac{(1+g)^t}{1+g} \right)^\alpha \left((1+g)^t L_t \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\quad + (1-\delta) \widehat{K}_{t-1} \frac{(1+g)^t}{1+g} \end{aligned}$$

Stationarized model (continued)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\widehat{C}_t} &= \beta E_t \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{C}_{t+1}(1+g)} (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) \right) \\
L_t^\gamma &= \frac{\widehat{w}_t}{\widehat{C}_t} \\
r_t &= \alpha A_t \left(\frac{\widehat{K}_{t-1}}{1+g} \right)^{\alpha-1} L_t^{1-\alpha} \\
\widehat{w}_t &= (1-\alpha) A_t \left(\frac{\widehat{K}_{t-1}}{1+g} \right)^\alpha L_t^{-\alpha} \\
\widehat{K}_t + \widehat{C}_t &= A_t \left(\frac{\widehat{K}_{t-1}}{1+g} \right)^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) \frac{\widehat{K}_{t-1}}{1+g}
\end{aligned}$$

Steady state

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\widehat{C}} &= \beta \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{C}(1+g)} (r + 1 - \delta) \right) \\
L^\gamma &= \frac{\widehat{w}}{\widehat{C}} \\
r &= \alpha A \left(\frac{\widehat{K}}{1+g} \right)^{\alpha-1} L^{1-\alpha} \\
\widehat{w} &= (1-\alpha) A \left(\frac{\widehat{K}}{1+g} \right)^\alpha L^{-\alpha} \\
\widehat{K} + \widehat{C} &= A \left(\frac{\widehat{K}}{1+g} \right)^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) \frac{\widehat{K}}{1+g} \\
\ln A_t &= \lambda \ln A + 0
\end{aligned}$$

Steady state: recursive computation

When $\gamma = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= 1 \\
r &= \frac{1+g}{\beta} + \delta - 1 \\
\widehat{KL} &= (1+g) \left(\frac{r}{\alpha A} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \\
\widehat{w} &= (1-\alpha)A \left(\frac{\widehat{KL}}{1+g} \right)^{\alpha} \\
\widehat{C} &= \widehat{w} \\
L &= \frac{\widehat{C}}{\widehat{AKL}^{\alpha} (1+g)^{1-\alpha} - \delta \widehat{KL}} \\
\widehat{K} &= \widehat{KL} \cdot L
\end{aligned}$$

RBC model

Endogenous variables	Exogenous variables
C_t consumption	e_t TFP innovation
K_t capital at the end of period	
L_t labor services	
w_t wage rate	
r_t rate of return on capital	
A_t total factor productivity	

Parameters calibration

alpha	capital share	0.33
delta	depreciation rate	0.025
beta	discount factor	0.9975
lambda	TFP autocorrelation	0.97
gamma	curvature of disutility of labor	0
g	growth rate	0.015

Dynare implementation

```

var C K L w r A;
varexo e;

parameters beta delta gamma alpha lambda g;

alpha = 0.33;
delta = 0.025;
beta = 0.9975;
lambda = 0.97;
gamma = 0;
g = 0.015;

```

Dynare implementation (continued)

```

model;
1/C=beta*(1/(C(+1)*(1+g)))*(r(+1)+1-delta);
L^gamma = w/C;
r = alpha*A*(K(-1)/(1+g))^(alpha-1)*L^(1-alpha);
w = (1-alpha)*A*(K(-1)/(1+g))^alpha*L^(-alpha);
K+C = A*(K(-1)/(1+g))^alpha*L^(1-alpha) +
      (1-delta)*(K(-1)/(1+g));
log(A) = lambda*log(A(-1))+e;
end;

```

Dynare implementation (continued)

```

steady_state_model;
A = 1;
r = (1+g)/beta+delta-1;
KL = (1+g)*(r/(alpha*A))^(1/(alpha-1));
w = (1-alpha)*A*(KL/(1+g))^alpha;
C = w;
L = C/(A*(KL/(1+g))^alpha
      + (1-delta)*KL/(1+g) - KL);
K = KL*L;
end;

steady;

```

Dynare implementation (continued)

```

shocks;
var e; stderr 0.01;
end;

check;

stoch_simul(order=1);

```

Decision and transition functions

Dynare output:

POLICY AND TRANSITION FUNCTIONS						
	C	K	L	w	r	A
Constant	1.837697	20.976677	0.971392	1.837697	0.042544	1.000000
K(-1)	0.042056	0.921754	-0.021057	0.042056	-0.001977	0
A(-1)	1.083571	3.558433	1.119646	1.083571	0.074122	0.970000
e	1.117083	3.668488	1.154275	1.117083	0.076415	1.000000

$$C_t = 1.838 + 0.042 (K_{t-1} - \bar{K}) + 1.084 (A_{t-1} - \bar{A}) + 1.118e_t$$

Solving numerically for the steady state

```
initval;
A = 1;
r = 0.04;
w = 1.8;
C = 1.8;
L = 1;
K = 20;
end;
```

```
steady;
```

See rbc1a.mod

Solve only one equation numerically

When $\gamma \neq 0$, there is no close form solution for the steady state

$$L^\gamma = \frac{\hat{w}}{\widehat{C}} \quad (\text{from static optimal condition})$$

$$\widehat{KL} = (1 + g) \left(\frac{r}{\alpha A} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \quad (\text{from firm F.O.C.})$$

$$\hat{w} = (1 - \alpha) A \left(\frac{\hat{K}}{1 + g} \right)^\alpha L^{-\alpha}$$

from good market equilibrium:

$$KL \cdot L + \frac{\hat{w}}{L^\gamma} = A \left(\frac{\widehat{KL} \cdot L}{1 + g} \right)^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \frac{\widehat{KL} \cdot L}{1 + g}$$

Solving for labor services

Solving

$$KL \cdot L + \frac{\hat{w}}{L^\gamma} - A \left(\frac{\widehat{KL} \cdot L}{1 + g} \right)^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} (1 - \delta) \frac{\widehat{KL} \cdot L}{1 + g} = 0$$

```
function L = solve_for_L(L0, w, A, KL, alpha, ...
    delta, gamma, g)
```

```
% anonymous function
```

```
myfun = @(L) KL*L + w/L^gamma ...
    - ((1-delta)*(KL*L/(1+g))) ...
    + A*(KL*L/(1+g))^alpha*L^(1-alpha));
```

```
L = fzero(myfun, L0);
```


Steady state model block:

```
steady_state_model;
  A = 1;
  r = (1+g)/beta+delta-1;
  KL = (1+g)*(r/(alpha*A))^(1/(alpha-1));
  w = (1-alpha)*A*(KL/(1+g))^alpha;
  L = solve_for_L(1.0, w, A, KL, alpha,
                  delta, gamma, g);
  K = KL*L;
  C = w/L^gamma;
end;
```

6 Dating variables in Dynare

Dating variables in Dynare

Dynare will automatically recognize predetermined and non-predetermined variables, but you must observe a few rules:

- period t variables are set during period t on the basis of the state of the system at period $t - 1$ and shocks observed at the beginning of period t .
- therefore, stock variables must be on an end-of-period basis: investment of period t determines the capital stock at the end of period t .

Log-linearization

- Taking a log-linear approximation of a model is equivalent to take a linear approximation of a model with respect to the logarithm of the variables.
- In practice, it is sufficient to replace all occurrences of variable X with $\exp(LX)$ where $LX = \ln X$.
- It is possible to make the substitution for some variables and not others. You wouldn't want to take a log approximation of a variable whose steady state value is negative ...
- There is no evidence that log-linearization is more accurate than simple linearization. In a growth model, it is often more natural to do a log-linearization.

7 The Dynare preprocessor

The role of the Dynare preprocessor

- the Dynare toolbox solves generic problems

- the preprocessor reads your *.mod file and translates it in specific Matlab/Octave files
- these files are located in a subdirectory called +<filename>
- driver.m: main Matlab script for your model
- static.m: static model
- dynamic.m: dynamic model
- steadystate.m: steady state function
- set_auxiliary_variables.m: static auxiliary variables function
- set_dynamic_auxiliary_variables.m: dynamic auxiliary variables function

8 Approximated model

9 Example

Second and third order approximation of the model

- Second and third order approximation of the solution function are obtained from second, respectively third, order approximation of the model.
- It requires only the solution of (tricky) linear problems.
- The stochastic scale of the model, σ , appears in the solution and breaks certainty equivalence

10 Second and third order approximation

Second and third order decision functions

- Second order

$$y_t = \bar{y} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\sigma\sigma} \sigma^2 + g_y \hat{y} + g_u u + \frac{1}{2} (g_{yy}(\hat{y} \otimes \hat{y}) + g_{uu}(u \otimes u)) + g_{yu}(\hat{y} \otimes u)$$

- Third order

$$\begin{aligned}
y_t = \bar{y} &+ \frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\sigma}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{6}g_{\sigma\sigma\sigma}\sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2}g_{y\sigma\sigma}\hat{y}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_{u\sigma\sigma}u\sigma^2 \\
&+ g_y\hat{y} + g_uu + \frac{1}{2}(g_{yy}(\hat{y} \otimes \hat{y}) + g_{uu}(u \otimes u)) \\
&+ g_{yu}(\hat{y} \otimes u) + \frac{1}{6}(g_{yyy}(\hat{y} \otimes \hat{y} \otimes \hat{y}) + g_{uuu}(u \otimes u \otimes u)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(g_{yyu}(\hat{y} \otimes \hat{y} \otimes u) + g_{yuu}(\hat{y} \otimes \hat{y} \otimes u))
\end{aligned}$$

We can fix $\sigma = 1$.

Second order accurate moments

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_y &= g_y\Sigma_y g_y' + \sigma^2 g_u\Sigma_\epsilon g_u' \\
E\{y_t\} &= \bar{y} + (I - g_y)^{-1} \left(0.5 \left(g_{\sigma\sigma} + g_{yy}\vec{\Sigma}_y + g_{uu}\vec{\Sigma}_\epsilon \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

11 Further issues

Further issues

- Impulse response functions depend of state at time of shocks and history of future shocks.
- For large shocks second order approximation simulation may explode
 - pruning algorithm (Sims)
 - truncate normal distribution (Judd)

An asset pricing model

Urban Jermann (1998) “Asset pricing in production economies” *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 41, 257–275.

- real business cycle model
- consumption habits
- investment adjustment costs
- compares return on several securities
- log-linearizes RBC model + log normal formulas for asset pricing

11.1

Firms

The representative firm maximizes its value:

$$\mathcal{E}_t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k \frac{\mu_{t+k}}{\mu_t} D_{t+k}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= A_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha} (X_t N_t)^{1-\alpha} \\ D_t &= Y_t - W_t N_t - I_t \\ K_t &= (1 - \delta) K_{t-1} + \left(\frac{a_1}{1 - \frac{1}{\xi}} \left(\frac{I_t}{K_{t-1}} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{\xi}} + a_2 \right) K_{t-1} \\ \log A_t &= \rho \log A_{t-1} + e_t \\ X_t &= (1 + g) X_{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

11.2

Households

The representative households maximizes current value of future utility:

$$\mathcal{E}_t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k \frac{(C_{t+k} - \chi C_{t+k-1})^{1-\tau}}{1 - \tau}$$

subject to the following budget constraint:

$$W_t N_t + D_t = C_t$$

and with $N_t = 1$. Good market equilibrium imposes

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t$$

11.3

Interest rate

Risk free interest rate:

$$r_f = \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_t \left\{ \beta g^{-\tau} \frac{\mu_{t+1}}{\mu_t} \right\}}$$

where μ_t is the utility of a marginal unit of consumption in period t .

$$\mu_t = (c_t - \chi c_{t-1}/g)^{-\tau} - \chi \beta (g c_{t+1} - \chi c_t)^{-\tau}$$

11.4

Rate of return

Rate of return of firms

$$r_t = \mathcal{E}_t \left\{ a_1 \left(g \frac{i_t}{k_{t-1}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}} \left(\alpha z_{t+1} g^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1 - \delta + \frac{a_1}{1-\frac{1}{\xi}} \left(g \frac{i_{t+1}}{k_t} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\xi}} + a_2}{a_1 \left(g \frac{i_{t+1}}{k_t} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}} - g \frac{i_{t+1}}{k_t} \right) \right\}$$

jermann98.mod

```
//-----
// 1. Variable declaration
//-----

var c, d, erp1, i, k, r1, rfi, w, y, z, mu;
varexo ez;
```

(continued)

```
//-----
// 2. Parameter declaration and calibration
//-----

parameters alf, chihab, xi, deltax, tau, g, rho, a1, a2, betstar, bet;

alf      = 0.36;    // capital share in production function
chihab   = 0.819;   // habit formation parameter
xi       = 1/4.3;   // capital adjustment cost parameter
deltax   = 0.025;   // quarterly depreciation rate
g        = 1.005;   // quarterly growth rate (note zero growth => g=1)
tau      = 5;      // curvature parameter with respect to c
rho      = 0.95;   // AR(1) parameter for technology shock

a1       = (g-1+deltax)^(1/xi);
a2       = (g-1+deltax)-(((g-1+deltax)^(1/xi))/(1-(1/xi)))*
          ((g-1+deltax)^(1-(1/xi)));
betstar  = g/1.011138;
bet      = betstar/(g^(1-tau));
```

(continued)

```
//-----
// 3. Model declaration
//-----

model;
g*k = (1-deltax)*k(-1) + ((a1/(1-1/xi))*(g*i/k(-1))^(1-1/xi)+a2)*k(-1);
d    = y - w - i;
w    = (1-alf)*y;
y    = z*g^(-alf)*k(-1)^alf;
c    = w + d;
mu   = (c-chihab*c(-1)/g)^(-tau)-chihab*bet*(c(+1)*g-chihab*c)^(-tau);
mu   = (betstar/g)*mu(+1)*(a1*(g*i/k(-1))^(1-1/xi))*(alf*z(+1)*g^(1-alf)*
      (k^(alf-1))+((1-deltax+a1/(1-1/xi))*(g*i(+1)/k)^(1-1/xi)+a2)/
      (a1*(g*i(+1)/k)^(1-1/xi))-g*i(+1)/k);
log(z) = rho*log(z(-1)) + ez;
```

(continued)

```
rf1 = 1/expectation(0)((betstar/g)*mu(+1)/mu);
r1  = (a1*(g*i/k(-1))^(1-1/xi))*(alf*z(+1)*g^(1-alf)*k^(alf-1))+
      (1-deltax+a1/(1-1/xi))*(g*i(+1)/k)^(1-1/xi)+a2)/
      (a1*(g*i(+1)/k)^(1-1/xi))-g*i(+1)/k;
erp1 = r1 - rfi;

end;
```

(continued)

```
steady_state_model;
rf1 = (g/betstar);
r1 = (g/betstar);
erp1 = r1-rf1;
z = 1;
k = (((g/betstar)-(1-delt))/(alf*g^(1-alf)))^(1/(alf-1));
y = (g^(1-alf))*k^alf;
w = (1-alf)*y;
i = (1-(1/g)*(1-delt))*k;
d = y - w - i;
c = w + d;
mu = ((c-(chihab*c/g))^(-tau))-chihab*bet*(((c*g-chihab*c)^(-tau)));
ez = 0;
end;
```

(continued)

```
steady;

shocks;
var ez; stderr 0.01;
end;

stoch_simul (order=2) rf1, r1, erp1, y, z, c, d, mu, k;
```

3rd order approximation

- For 3rd order use

```
stoch_simul (order=3, periods=50000) rf1, r1, erp1, y, z, c, d, mu, k;
```

- Don't forget option *periods*= in order to compute empirical moments

12 Appendix: solving a matrix quadratic equation

A matrix quadratic equation

Consider the equation

$$(f_{y+} \mathbf{g}_y \mathbf{g}_y + f_{y_0} \mathbf{g}_y + f_{y-}) \hat{y} = 0$$

Two approaches

- The generalized Schur decomposition
- The cyclic reduction approach

Generalized Schur decomposition approach

Consider the structural state space representation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{y+} \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ \mathbf{g}_y \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_y \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -f_{y-} & -f_{y_0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ \mathbf{g}_y \end{bmatrix} \hat{y}$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{y+} \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_t - \bar{y} \\ y_{t+1} - \bar{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -f_{y-} & -f_{y_0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{t-1} - \bar{y} \\ y_t - \bar{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Structural state space representation

$$Dx_{t+1} = Ex_t$$

with

$$x_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_t - \bar{y} \\ y_{t+1} - \bar{y} \end{bmatrix} \quad x_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t-1} - \bar{y} \\ y_t - \bar{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

- There are multiple solutions but we want a unique stable one.
- Need to discuss eigenvalues of this linear system.
- Problem when D is singular.

Real generalized Schur decomposition

Taking the real generalized Schur decomposition of the pencil $\langle E, D \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= QTZ \\ E &= QSZ \end{aligned}$$

with T , upper triangular, S quasi-upper triangular, $Q'Q = I$ and $Z'Z = I$.

12.0.1 Generalized eigenvalues

Generalized eigenvalues

λ_i solves

$$\lambda_i Dx_i = Ex_i$$

For diagonal blocks on S of dimension 1 x 1:

- $T_{ii} \neq 0$: $\lambda_i = \frac{S_{ii}}{T_{ii}}$
- $T_{ii} = 0$, $S_{ii} > 0$: $\lambda_i = +\infty$
- $T_{ii} = 0$, $S_{ii} < 0$: $\lambda_i = -\infty$
- $T_{ii} = 0$, $S_{ii} = 0$: $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}$

A pair of complex eigenvalues

When a diagonal block of matrix S is a 2x2 matrix of the form $\begin{bmatrix} S_{ii} & S_{i,i+1} \\ S_{i+1,i} & S_{i+1,i+1} \end{bmatrix}$,

- the corresponding block of matrix T is a diagonal matrix,
- $(S_{i,i}T_{i+1,i+1} + S_{i+1,i+1}T_{i,i})^2 < -4S_{i+1,i}S_{i+1,i}T_{i,i}T_{i+1,i+1}$,
- there is a pair of conjugate eigenvalues

$$\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1} =$$

$$\frac{S_{ii}T_{i+1,i+1} + S_{i+1,i+1}T_{i,i} \pm \sqrt{(S_{i,i}T_{i+1,i+1} - S_{i+1,i+1}T_{i,i})^2 + 4S_{i+1,i}S_{i+1,i}T_{i,i}T_{i+1,i+1}}}{2T_{i,i}T_{i+1,i+1}}$$

Applying the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
D \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_y \end{bmatrix} g_y \hat{y} &= E \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_y \end{bmatrix} \hat{y} \\
\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_y \end{bmatrix} g_y \hat{y} &= \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_y \end{bmatrix} \hat{y}
\end{aligned}$$

Selecting the stable trajectory

To exclude explosive trajectories, one imposes

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{21} + Z_{22} g_y &= 0 \\
g_y &= -Z_{22}^{-1} Z_{21}
\end{aligned}$$

A unique stable trajectory exists if Z_{22} is non-singular: there are as many roots larger than one in modulus as there are forward-looking variables in the model (Blanchard and Kahn condition) and the rank condition is satisfied.

An alternative algorithm: Cyclic reduction

- Solving

$$A_0 + A_1 X + A_2 X^2$$

- Iterate

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0^{(k+1)} &= -A_0^{(k)} (A_1^{(k)})^{-1} A_0^{(k)}, \\
A_1^{(k+1)} &= A_1^{(k)} - A_0^{(k)} (A_1^{(k)})^{-1} A_2^{(k)} - A_2^{(k)} (A_1^{(k)})^{-1} A_0^{(k)}, \\
A_2^{(k+1)} &= -A_2^{(k)} (A_1^{(k)})^{-1} A_2^{(k)}, \\
\hat{A}_1^{(k+1)} &= \hat{A}_1^{(k)} - A_2^{(k)} (A_1^{(k)})^{-1} A_0^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots$ with $A_0^{(1)} = A_0$, $A_1^{(1)} = A_1$, $A_2^{(1)} = A_2$, $\hat{A}_1^{(1)} = A_1$ and until $\|A_0^{(k)}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ and $\|A_2^{(k)}\|_\infty < \epsilon$.

- Then

$$X \approx -(\hat{A}_1^{(k+1)})^{-1} A_0$$